Motorist Modernization Advisory Board – Phase II Meeting
Tuesday, July 9, 2019
2:30 to 4:00 PM
Neil Kirkman Building, Conference Room B-202
2900 Apalachee Parkway, Tallahassee Florida 32399

Invitees
Stephen Boley
Lt. Jason Britt
Diane Buck
Jay Levenstein
Steve Burch
Lisa Cullen
Sherri Smith
Sgt. Derek Joseph
TBD

Representing
FLHSMV
FLHSMV
FLHSMV
FLHSMV
Florida Tax Collectors
Florida Tax Collectors
Law Enforcement
Law Enforcement

Agenda

• Roll Call
• Welcome
• Review and Approval of Last Meeting Minutes
• IV&V Update
• Stakeholder Outreach Update
• Policy and Decisions Review
• MM Phase II Program Update
  o Financial Review
  o Project Updates
  o OCM Update
• Communications Update
• Q&A
• Adjourn
Motorist Modernization Advisory Board – Phase II Meeting  
Tuesday, June 11, 2019  
2:30 to 4:00 PM  
Neil Kirkman Building, Conference Room B-202  
2900 Apalachee Parkway, Tallahassee Florida 32399

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

- The meeting was called to order at 2:30 p.m. Kristin Green began the meeting by welcoming members and visitors and proceeded with the roll call of board members.

Advisory Board Phase II members included:

- Stephen Boley - DHSMV (absent)
- Steve Burch - DHSMV
- Lt. Jason Britt - DHSMV (absent)
- Diane Buck - DHSMV
- Jay Levenstein - DHSMV
- Lisa Cullen - Florida Tax Collectors
- Sherri Smith - Florida Tax Collectors
- Sgt. Derek Joseph - Law Enforcement

- Additional DHSMV members present included – Kristin Green, Koral Griggs, Cathy Thomas, Jessica Espinoza, Judy Johnson, Craig Benner, Felecia Ford and Laura Freeman.
- Visitors present included – Alyene Calvo from Ernst & Young attended via phone. Nathan Johnson from Accenture. Andrew Bell and Denise Smith from Florida Auto Tag Agencies, Robert Priselac with Title Tech. and Carl Ford from J Ford Consulting also attended.

REVIEW OF MEETING MINUTES

- Rachel Graham reviewed the meeting minutes from April 9, 2019. No corrections were identified. A motion to approve the minutes was accepted by the board members and the April 9, 2019, meeting minutes were approved.

IV&V UPDATE

- Alyene Calvo presented an IV&V update for Phase II. The overall risk state was green. There were no open deficiencies to report and no new facets were reviewed. The Schedule Performance Index was .945. 19 of 1,446 total tasks were late. The program completion date is projected to be 198.2 days late and the Schedule Quality Score was 96.1.

STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH

- There was no stakeholder outreach update at the meeting.

POLICY & DECISION REVIEW

- POR08 – Request to Allow the Issuance of Permanent Decals for Tribal Registrations – Judy Johnson stated the department will move forward with seeking legislation to allow for the issuance of permanent plates. This item would now be closed.
• POR11 – Permanent Plates for Tribes – Ms. Johnson stated the department will move forward with seeking legislation to allow for the issuance of permanent plates. This item would also be closed.
• DSERV05 – Felecia Ford stated the Dealer Services team is requesting a decision on allowing the tax collectors to have the capability to cancel dealer plates if the dealer is surrendering the cancelled plate, or in the case of cancelling a stolen plate, ensuring a proper police report was provided. At a minimum, a scanned copy of the plate or report must be associated with the transaction and available for review in ORION. Robert Kynoch will research this item and will report back to the ESC.
  o Lisa Cullen was in favor of this.

FINANCIAL REVIEW
• Kristin Green presented a Phase I and II financial review. The Phase I budget is $7.5 million with $6.6 million expended. There was a 0 percent variance with $921,640 in remaining funds. The budget for Phase II is $5 million with $3.6 million expended. There was a 0 percent variance with $1.4 million in remaining funds.

PHASE II PROJECT UPDATE
• Nathan Johnson stated all the teams are working on closing out requirements validation. The teams are also working on completing development and testing estimates to finalize release planning.

ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE MANAGEMENT UPDATE
• Craig Benner stated the team completed the Phase II knowledge survey. The results included:
  o 71% indicate tax collector staff are located in multiple offices across their county.
  o 67% of tax collector staff do not have onsite dedicated computer training labs.
  o 50% (12) of responding bureaus within FLHSMV have staff located outside of the Neil Kirkman building.
  o 8 of these 12 bureaus within FLHSMV do not have computer training labs.

COMMUNICATIONS UPDATE
• Koral Griggs presented an overview of the Phase II communications plan previously approved by the ESC.

Q&A
• Lisa Cullen asked what our purpose is for visiting the Texas Department of Motor Vehicles?
  o Jessica Espinoza and Judy Johnson stated we want to observe their general processes, like dealer licensing, online portal, etc.
• Bob Priselac asked if we have discussed how statute changes discussed in previous Advisory Board meetings could affect the overall plan for Motorist Modernization.
  o Kristin Green stated we have continued to keep our legislative affairs office apprised of statute changes, but there have not been discussions at length.
Carl Ford asked if the global cashiering solution would be the same for both Phase I and Phase II? He also asked if the solution is the same, would Phase II stakeholders be able to observe the solution early?

Ms. Green stated she believes it would be the same solution for both Phase I and II. She stated she would make note about the stakeholders observing the cashiering solution in advance.

**ADJOURNMENT**

- Ms. Green adjourned the meeting at approximately 2:47 p.m.
- The next Advisory Board Phase II Meeting is scheduled for July 9, 2019.

**Note: Handouts at this meeting included:**

Consolidated in a meeting packet and emailed to members:

- MM Advisory Board Phase II Agenda: 1 Page
- MM Advisory Board Phase II Meeting Minutes (4/9/19): 4 Pages
- MM Phase II IV&V Update: 31 Pages
- MM Phase II Decision Log: 2 Pages
- MM Phase I/II Financials: 7 Pages
- OCM Update Report: 7 Pages
- Phase II Traffic Light Report: 1 Page
- Phase II Communication Plan: 4 Pages
Topics for discussion

► General IV&V overview
► Overall risk state and trending
► IV&V ratings summary
► Key indicators
► Status of key deficiency recommendations
► Overall performance
► Project complete date slippage
► Forecast milestone slippage
► Open deficiencies and actions
► Process improvement recommendations
► Upcoming IV&V activities

► Supporting information
► Summary of changes
► Project milestones
► Late tasks
► Project schedule quality
► Project budget

Data contained in this MAR is as of 14 June 2019
General IV&V overview

There are no open IV&V deficiencies

- No additional facets reviewed since the last report
- No new deficiencies identified since the last report

The MMP2 Program is within established schedule performance thresholds

- The schedule performance index (SPI) is 0.949
- 32 of 1,446 total tasks (2.21%) contained in the project schedule are late
- 2 of 168 total tasks (1.19%) for the current period are late

The MMP2 Program is within established cost performance thresholds

- The cost performance index (CPI) is 1.000
- The Program is currently on budget based on provided budget and spending information

The MMP2 Program is behind schedule

- The program completion date is forecast to be 26 January 2024, 191.1 days late
- Future milestones are projected to be completed behind schedule
- The amount of time the project is behind schedule is decreasing

Overall IV&V risk state: Green
Overall risk state and trending

**Risk state of the MM Program (Phase II)**

- **Program governance**
  - Benefit realization and sustainability
- **Technical solution**
  - Requirements development, quality and transition
- **Project management**
  - Processes, controls, and predictability

**Risk state with trending**

- **Program governance**
- **Technical solution**
- **Project management**

Indicators:
- Red: Indicates that the area being assessed has critical issues that will result in significant risk to the project most likely resulting in either the inability to achieve the outcomes, inability to meet the projected schedule, or a significant cost over-run. Requires immediate action.
- Yellow: Indicates that the area being assessed has issues that need to be resolved; inefficiencies exist. Current process/method can be used with refinement.
- Green: Indicates that the area being assessed did not have significant issues to report. Continued monitoring should be performed.
- Grey: Indicates that the area being assessed has incomplete information available for a conclusive finding or is not applicable.

As of 14 June 2019

---

MMP2-IVV-312AR May Status v2.0 Final - 20190628
This chart shows a summary of the IV&V cube facet ratings (red, amber, green and gray), and open deficiencies.

Facet risk rating totals are as follows:

- Red (critical issues): 0
- Amber (issues): 0
- Green (no issues): 21
- Gray (not evaluated): 6
- Open deficiencies: 0

Conclusions:

- The MMP2 Program Team has satisfactorily addressed all deficiencies identified by the IV&V team.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the project approach sound?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>► The overall project approach is based on industry leading practices, methodologies and tools that have been used for other DHSMV projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the project on time?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>► The MMP2 Program is within established schedule performance thresholds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► The schedule performance index (SPI) is 0.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► 32 of 1,446 total tasks (2.21%) contained in the project schedule are late.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► 2 of 168 total tasks (1.19%) for the current period are late.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► Schedule variance is currently -1,538.6 hours. The amount of schedule variance is decreasing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the project on budget?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>► The MMP2 Program is within established cost performance thresholds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► The cost performance index (CPI) is 1.000.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► The Program is currently on budget based on provided budget and spending information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is scope being managed so there is no scope creep?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>► The work being completed as part of the MMP2 Program is within the scope of the project as defined in the Schedule IV-B Feasibility Study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► Additional change requests are reviewed and approved based on the established change management process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What are the project’s future risks?</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>► The MMP2 Program Team has satisfactorily addressed all deficiencies identified by the IV&amp;V team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the project’s risks increasing or decreasing?</td>
<td>Steady</td>
<td>► The MMP2 Program Team has satisfactorily addressed all deficiencies identified by the IV&amp;V team.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there new or emerging technological solutions that will affect the project’s technology assumptions?</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>► New and emerging technologies were considered in the Feasibility Study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>► None have an adverse effect on the project’s technological assumptions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Status of key deficiency recommendations

Recommendation status versus priority

Overall status of recommendations

Recommendation status by deficiency

Recommendation priority by deficiency
Overall performance

This chart shows the SPI and CPI plotted as points against the tolerance ranges set up for the project.

Summary:
- Schedule and cost performance are within established thresholds.

Conclusions:
- The Program is behind schedule but within established performance thresholds.

Green area indicates within tolerance of +/- 10% for both SPI and CPI.

Amber area indicates review is required and corrective actions may be necessary.

Red area indicates out-of-tolerance and corrective actions are necessary.

As of 31 May 2019:
- SPI = 0.949
- CPI = 1.000
Overall performance (continued)

- This chart shows the cumulative planned value (PV) and earned value (EV) for the project.
- **Summary:**
  - Total EV is less than PV, indicating there is scheduled work that is not being completed as scheduled.
  - The total amount of work not completed as scheduled is 1,538.6 hours.
- **Conclusions:**
  - The MMP2 Program is behind schedule.

- Blue area indicates the cumulative PV as of the current reporting period.
- Grey area indicates the cumulative EV as of the current reporting period.
- PV is the work scheduled to be accomplished.
- EV is the value of the work actually performed.
This chart shows the percent complete for duration and work for the project.

Summary:
- Duration and work complete has been increasing since the beginning of the project.

Conclusions:
- None.

- Blue line is duration percent complete.
- Red line is work percent complete
This chart shows the forecast slippage of the project complete milestone based on historical performance using the schedule performance index (SPI).

Summary:
- The program completion date is forecast to be 26 January 2024, 191.1 days late.
- The amount of time the project is behind schedule is decreasing.

Conclusions:
- The program is behind schedule.
This chart shows the projected completion dates for future milestones based on historical performance using the schedule performance index (SPI).

Summary:
- Future milestones are projected to be completed behind schedule.
- The amount of time the project is behind schedule is decreasing.

Conclusions:
- The program is behind schedule.
## Open deficiencies and actions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deficiency</th>
<th>Actions taken</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>► None</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MMP2 Program Team has satisfactorily addressed all deficiencies identified by the IV&V Team.
## Process improvement recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Progress update / resolution</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>► The program team should perform a level of effort analysis on the remaining Uniface task and update the MM Program Schedule to provide an accurate reflection of the remaining work, allocated resources, and adjusted timeline.</td>
<td>► In addition to extending a Uniface resource, HSMV is currently developing a plan and creating a CR to update MM Program Schedule to provide details and clarity around the updated plan for completing Uniface tasks, proving more clarity into work that has already been completed.</td>
<td>► In-progress</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Upcoming IV&V activities

- Participate in IV&V and Program meetings
- Review draft and final MMP2 Program materials provided to the IV&V Team
- Conduct interviews as required
- Schedule of immediate IV&V deliverables is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable</th>
<th>Planned draft</th>
<th>Planned final</th>
<th>Actual final</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Jul 2018 (IVV-302AG)</td>
<td>08/14/2018</td>
<td>08/29/2018</td>
<td>08/29/2018</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Aug 2018 (IVV-302AH)</td>
<td>09/17/2018</td>
<td>10/02/2018</td>
<td>10/01/2018</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Sep 2018 (IVV-302AI)</td>
<td>10/18/2018</td>
<td>11/02/2018</td>
<td>11/02/2018</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Nov 2018 (IVV-302AK)</td>
<td>12/14/2018</td>
<td>01/04/2019</td>
<td>01/04/2019</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Dec 2018 (IVV-302AL)</td>
<td>01/15/2019</td>
<td>01/30/2019</td>
<td>01/31/2019</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Jan 2019 (IVV-302AM)</td>
<td>02/14/2019</td>
<td>02/26/2019</td>
<td>3/12/2019</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Feb 2019 (IVV-302AN)</td>
<td>03/14/2019</td>
<td>03/29/2019</td>
<td>03/27/2019</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – Apr 2019 (IVV-302AQ)</td>
<td>05/14/2019</td>
<td>05/29/2019</td>
<td>05/29/2019</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR – May 2019 (IVV-302AR)</td>
<td>06/14/2019</td>
<td>07/01/2019</td>
<td>06/28/2019</td>
<td>▶ Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supporting information

- Summary of changes
- Project milestones
- Late tasks
- Project schedule quality
- Project budget
## Summary of changes

### Supporting information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deficiencies addressed</td>
<td>► There are no open IV&amp;V deficiencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New deficiencies</td>
<td>► No new deficiencies identified since the last report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New process improvements</td>
<td>► No new process improvements identified since the last report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity ratings</td>
<td>► No maturity rating changes since the last report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviews conducted</td>
<td>► No interviews conducted since last report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artifacts received</td>
<td>► Numerous artifacts received.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Project milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WBS</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Scheduled</th>
<th>Planned</th>
<th>Forecast</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.4.10</td>
<td>Obtain Validated Requirements Approval and Signoff</td>
<td>07/30/19</td>
<td>07/30/19</td>
<td>07/30/19</td>
<td>09/20/19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.14.5</td>
<td>Development Complete</td>
<td>12/03/21</td>
<td>12/03/21</td>
<td>12/03/21</td>
<td>04/16/22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.15.5</td>
<td>Testing Complete</td>
<td>07/29/22</td>
<td>07/29/22</td>
<td>07/29/22</td>
<td>01/02/22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.18.5</td>
<td>Decision Point - Ready to Pilot</td>
<td>08/19/22</td>
<td>08/19/22</td>
<td>08/19/22</td>
<td>01/25/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.18.7</td>
<td>Decision Point - Move to Production (Roll out)</td>
<td>11/07/22</td>
<td>11/07/22</td>
<td>11/07/22</td>
<td>04/22/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.18.12</td>
<td>Statewide Implementation Complete</td>
<td>06/05/23</td>
<td>06/05/23</td>
<td>06/05/23</td>
<td>12/08/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Execution and Monitoring &amp; Control Phase Complete</td>
<td>06/12/23</td>
<td>06/12/23</td>
<td>06/12/23</td>
<td>12/16/23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Closeout Phase Complete</td>
<td>06/29/23</td>
<td>06/29/23</td>
<td>06/29/23</td>
<td>01/04/24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Project Complete</td>
<td>07/19/23</td>
<td>07/19/23</td>
<td>07/19/23</td>
<td>01/26/24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supporting information**

1. Items highlighted are either currently late or projected to be late.
2. Original – Original contract completion date.
3. Scheduled – Scheduled completion date based on the latest schedule baseline.
4. Planned – Planned completion date (should be the same as scheduled).
5. Forecast – Based on ES calculations and the current SPI.
6. Actual – The actual completion date

Late: **191.1 days**
Late tasks

Supporting information

- This chart shows the number of tasks that are late for each of the IV&V reports for the following:
  - Total tasks late.
  - Tasks that are open (task completion percentage is greater than 0% and less than 100%).
- A task is automatically designated as “late” if it is not complete and the project status date is later than the baseline finish date for the task.
- Summary:
  - Total normal tasks: 1,446
  - Total tasks late: 32
  - Total open tasks late: 15
- Conclusions:
  - The total number of tasks designated as late is 2.21% of the total number of tasks.
  - The number of late tasks has increased since the last report.
**Project schedule quality**

**MMP2 schedule**

- **Supporting information**

  - This chart shows the quality of the project schedule within each of the following areas:
    - Overall quality with trending
    - Key indicators
    - Schedule parameters
  
  - **Summary:**
    - Overall quality: 96.1
  
  - **Conclusions:**
    - Overall schedule quality is consistent and excellent

### Overall Quality – Entire Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31-May-19</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-May-19</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-May-19</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-May-19</td>
<td>96.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Indicators

- Dynamic schedule – Task dependencies and constraints
- Critical path – Task dependencies
- Resource allocation – Resource assignments
- Task durations
- Schedule baseline
- On time tasks

### Schedule Parameters

- Summary tasks
- Milestone tasks
- Normal tasks
- Resources

### Quality Value

- 90 or greater
- Between 75 and 90
- Between 60 and 75
- Between 45 and 60
- Less than 45
Project schedule quality
MMP2 period

Supporting information

- This chart shows the quality of the project schedule within each of the following areas:
  - Overall quality with trending
  - Key indicators
  - Schedule parameters
  - Summary:
    - Overall quality: 95.8
  - Conclusions:
    - Overall schedule quality is consistent and excellent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>🚀 90 or greater</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🚈 Between 75 and 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🚗 Between 60 and 75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🚕 Between 45 and 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>🚣 Less than 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Indicators</th>
<th>Schedule Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic schedule – Task dependencies and constraints</td>
<td>Task durations – Task durations other that 8 to 80 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical path – Task dependencies</td>
<td>Baseline – Full baseline defined for all tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource allocation – Resource assignments</td>
<td>On time tasks – Tasks that are not late</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Quality – Period 07/01/2019 to 09/30/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open tasks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Quality – Period 07/01/2019 to 09/30/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Quality</th>
<th>Key Indicators</th>
<th>Schedule Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Period 07/01/2019 to 09/30/2019</td>
<td>Dynamic schedule</td>
<td>Task durations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall quality</td>
<td>Critical path</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.7</td>
<td>Resource allocation</td>
<td>Full baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>Task durations</td>
<td>defined for all tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.9</td>
<td>Schedule baseline</td>
<td>On time tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>On time tasks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dynamic schedule – Task dependencies and constraints
Critical path – Task dependencies
Resource allocation – Resource assignments
Task durations – Task durations other that 8 to 80 hours
Baseline – Full baseline defined for all tasks
On time tasks – Tasks that are not late
Project budget
DHSMV staff funding

Total DHSMV staff budget versus actual expenditures
### Project budget

**Contract staff funding**

**Supporting information**

#### Total contract staff budget versus actual expenditures

- **Total contracted staff - budget**
- **Total contracted staff - actual**
- **Cumulative total contracted staff - budget**
- **Cumulative total contracted staff - actual**

The graph shows the comparison between the budgeted and actual expenditures for contracted staff over time, with the x-axis representing months from July 2017 to June 2019, and the y-axis representing thousands of dollars. The expenditures are displayed in green for actual and red for budgeted values.
Project budget
Expense funding

Total expense budget versus actual expenditures
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Project budget
IV&V services funding

Total IV&V services budget versus actual expenditures

- Total IV&V services - budget
- Total IV&V services - actual
- Cumulative total IV&V services - budget
- Cumulative total IV&V services - actual
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Supporting information
Project budget
Budget and actual distribution

Supporting information

DHSMV staff
Contracted staff
Expense
OCO
Other items
IV&V

Budget distribution

Contracted staff $8,066,354 88%
Other items $0 0%
IV&V $780,430 8%
DHSMV staff $0 0%
Expense $271,287 3%
OCO $51,109 1%

Actual distribution

Contracted staff $6,847,274 89%
Other items $0 0%
IV&V $709,890 9%
DHSMV staff $0 0%
Expense $155,445 2%
OCO $21,458 0%

Wireframe

DHSMV staff
Contracted staff
Expense
OCO
Other items
IV&V

DHSMV staff
Contracted staff
Expense
OCO
Other items
IV&V
About Ernst & Young

Ernst & Young is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. Worldwide, our 144,000 people are united by our shared values and an unwavering commitment to quality. We make a difference by helping our people, our clients and our wider communities achieve their potential.

For more information, please visit www.ey.com.

Ernst & Young refers to the global organization of member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients.

© 2019 Ernst & Young LLP.
All Rights Reserved.

0911-1106924

This publication contains information in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only. It is not intended to be a substitute for detailed research or the exercise of professional judgment. Neither Ernst & Young LLP nor any other member of the global Ernst & Young organization can accept any responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication. On any specific matter, reference should be made to the appropriate advisor.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Submit Date</th>
<th>Decision Needed By Date</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>AB Recommendation</th>
<th>AB Date</th>
<th>ESC Decision/Notes</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Close Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Request to allow the issuance of permanent decals for tribal registrations.</td>
<td>11/20/2018</td>
<td>12/6/2019</td>
<td>Decisions made after the first iteration due date will result in a change request.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>The team would like to see if we can get a legislative change on this statute (320.84). I think if they would take out the wording of “each year,” we should be able to issue a permanent plate to the Indian tribes. It is costing the department money to renew the current plates and then we mail them to the tribes overnight at our cost. There is no cost to the tribal members to renew their plates.</td>
<td>1/9/2019</td>
<td>2/7/2019 Update</td>
<td>This item will be added to the legal opinion document.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Under review</td>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### POR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/7/2019</td>
<td>Meeting held with Robert Kynoch to discuss issuance of permanent plates for tribes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/6/2019</td>
<td>The department will move forward with seeking legislation to allow for the issuance of permanent plates.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DSERV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The Dealer Services team is requesting a decision on allowing the Tax Collector's office to have the capability to cancel dealer plates if the dealer is surrendering the cancelled plate, or in the case of cancelling a stolen plate, ensuring a proper police report was provided. At a minimum, a scanned copy of the plate or report must be associated with the transaction and available for review in ORION. This request came from the Bureau Chief.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/8/2019</td>
<td>The Registration team would need an answer to include this process in their requirements validation. Allowing the Tax Collectors to complete this task would save the dealer a trip to the regional office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/23/2019</td>
<td>The AB supported the suggestion to provide the dealers the capability to cancel dealer plates. Lisa Cullen supported the recommendation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Registration team would like to request that the Tax Collector's office be able to provide the Antique Vessel decals from their office. Currently, the TC office corrects the reg use to &quot;EA&quot; and then the customer has to mail the request to Tallahassee to receive the decal. The decals could be requested through inventory, similar to the color decals for vessels and mobile homes. The department has an extensive amount of these decals on hand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/2/2019</td>
<td>This would provide better customer service and would save the department the mail fees to send these out to the customer. Approximately 10 are currently mailed out per day.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Motorist Modernization Financials

JULY 09, 2019
# Phase I
## Total Project
LBR Requests - Updated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Total Request</th>
<th>Contracted Services</th>
<th>IV&amp;V Services</th>
<th>Expense (Software, Travel, etc.)</th>
<th>OCO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>$1,514,762</td>
<td>$619,186</td>
<td>$61,478</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td>$6,362,609</td>
<td>$5,468,933</td>
<td>$479,280</td>
<td>$382,501</td>
<td>$31,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>$9,857,775</td>
<td>$8,506,720</td>
<td>$479,280</td>
<td>$865,000</td>
<td>$6,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-2019</td>
<td>$7,536,000</td>
<td>$6,976,720</td>
<td>$479,280</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2020</td>
<td>$2,323,620</td>
<td>$2,303,620</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$37,329,355</strong></td>
<td><strong>$32,678,267</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,536,306</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,745,667</strong></td>
<td><strong>$64,541</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Budget and Actuals: Current Fiscal Year through June 2019

**BUDGET:** $7,536,000

- **Contracted Services:** $6.75M
- **IV&V Services:** $479K
- **Expense (Software, Travel):** $286K
- **OCO:** $15K

**ACTUALS:** $7,535,357

- **Contracted Services:** $6.75M
- **IV&V Services:** $479K
- **Expense (Software, Travel):** $286K
- **OCO:** $15K

Remaining: $360K

Remaining: $479K
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget Total</th>
<th>Actuals to Date</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Total Funding</td>
<td>$7,536,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year to Date</td>
<td>$7,536,000</td>
<td>$7,535,357</td>
<td>(.01%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month to Date (June 2019)</td>
<td>$794,326</td>
<td>$793,683</td>
<td>(.08%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Funds</td>
<td>$644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>Total Request</td>
<td>Contracted Services</td>
<td>IV&amp;V Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>$4,132,180</td>
<td>$3,575,240</td>
<td>$357,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-2019</td>
<td>$5,037,000</td>
<td>$4,455,960</td>
<td>$423,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2020</td>
<td>$13,742,200</td>
<td>$9,715,960</td>
<td>$423,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2021</td>
<td>$7,619,700*</td>
<td>$6,915,960</td>
<td>$423,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021-2022</td>
<td>$6,036,700*</td>
<td>$5,580,460</td>
<td>$423,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-2023</td>
<td>$2,906,700*</td>
<td>$2,479,460</td>
<td>$423,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$39,474,480*</td>
<td>$32,723,040</td>
<td>$2,473,390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase II
Total Project
LBR Requests Updated
Budget and Actuals: Current Fiscal Year through June 2019

**Budget: $5,037,000**

- Contracted Services: $4,255,960
- IV&V Services: $423,240
- Expense (Software, Travel): $150,000
- OCO: $7,800

**Actuals: $5,035,077**

- Contracted Services: $4,47M
- IV&V Services: $423K
- Expense (Software, Travel): $117K
- OCO: $29K
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Budget Total</th>
<th>Actuals to Date</th>
<th>Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Total Funding</td>
<td>$5,037,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year to Date</td>
<td>$5,037,000</td>
<td>$5,035,077</td>
<td>(.04%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month to Date (June 2019)</td>
<td>$1,434,750</td>
<td>$1,432,850</td>
<td>(.13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Funds</td>
<td>$1,923</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OCM Team Summary

Tasks In Progress
- Engagement Plans for:
  - ISA – 50%
  - OED – 40%
  - DAS – 40%
  - MS – 20%
  - FHP – 20%
  - Task due: (07/31/19)
- Create the ISA knowledge transfer plan 70% and development to operations transition checklist: due – (07/31/19)
- Identify Change Champions: due – (12/05/18)

Key Risks and Issues
- Reluctance from stakeholders for adopting changes
- Letters currently do not have an owner for the process of making changes after go live (owners identified/no process yet)
- MyDMV Portal – Override/Disassociate process – Risk 104 next slide

Upcoming Milestones
- Document Change Engagement Activities – (07/31/19)
- Change Champion Kick-off – 60% (due 5/31) scheduled for September

Report: July 9, 2019
### OCM New Risks and Issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>IF a long-term owner isn’t identified for LETTERS, THEN there is a chance that letters will not maintain consistency due to changes after OMM Phase I Go-Live.</td>
<td>MAY: Identify an owner.</td>
<td>6/6/19: Owners for letters have been identified. MS will own all letters except BAR. OGC will own BAR letters. A working group within MS will be set up to address the process to maintain consistency of letters post go-live. 7/9/19: working group began working on process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>IF a new business process and training is NOT developed for CSC to deal with the new MyDMV Portal log-on process, THEN CSC may have difficulty with MyDMV Portal customer override and disassociation process.</td>
<td>MAY: OCM has scheduled a discussion on 5/16 to establish a process for customer identity verification in general to avoid identity fraud and determine/confirm the area that will be responsible for this new process.</td>
<td>5/16/19: Established working group to address issue. 6/6/19: A working group began meeting the week of 6/3. 7/9/19: no status change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Phase I

Engagement Plans

* OED Plan under review by OMM Leadership Team
* DAS Plan under review by OMM Leadership Team
* FHP Plan with Communications for comments
Phase II

Organizational Change Management

Phase II

OCM Team drafting schedule
- Team reviews of schedule began week of July 1st
- Identification of OCM deliverables
Questions?
## Team 1 - Dealer License

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Date:</th>
<th>5/28/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Complete:</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment 3 - Dealer Licensing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items:</th>
<th>Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Code:</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation:</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Team 2 - Portal/Fleet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Date:</th>
<th>5/24/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Complete:</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment 2 - Parking Permits, Titles, Dealer Services, High Speed, eCommerce, Kiosk, Electronic Title & Registration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items:</th>
<th>Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Code:</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation:</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Team 3 - IFTA/IRP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Date:</th>
<th>5/17/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Complete:</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment 2 - COTS Integration Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items:</th>
<th>Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Code:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation:</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Team 4 - Titles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Date:</th>
<th>6/4/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Complete:</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment 3 - Salvage, Batch (ELT, Batch Title Print, etc.), Title Imaging, Reporting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items:</th>
<th>Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Code:</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation:</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Team 5 - Registrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Date:</th>
<th>6/11/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Complete:</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment 3 - Dealer, Reg Stop, Parking Permits, Reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items:</th>
<th>Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Code:</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation:</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Team 6 - Globals/Batch/Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Due Date:</th>
<th>5/28/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Complete:</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increment 3 - Admin (Admin, Batch)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Items:</th>
<th>Green</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legacy Code:</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimation:</td>
<td>Green</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Legend:**
- **Green:** Complete
- **Yellow:** 10-20% overdue
- **Red:** >= 20% overdue
- **< 10% overdue:**
- **10-20% overdue:**
- **>= 20% overdue:**

**Notes:**
- % Complete: % complete of requirements validation for current Increment
- Action Items: % of overdue team action items
- Legacy Code: % of as-is processes scheduled to be documented for the Increment
- Estimation: % of completed user stories with overdue estimations