

Electra Theodorides-Bustle
Executive Director

2900 Apalachee Parkway
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0500
www.flhsmv.gov



Charlie Crist
Governor

Bill McCollum
Attorney General

Alex Sink
Chief Financial Officer

Charles H. Bronson
Commissioner of Agriculture

August 26, 2009

Addendum #2
ITN No. 003-10
Problem Management Project

Dear Sir or Madam,

REMOVE PAGE: 25 of 44 (08/05/09)

ADD PAGE: 25 of 44 (08/26/09)

This addendum serves as notice that the page above has been amended.

The criteria for scoring the SQSO has been amended to the top three scoring proposals.
Please see Section 6.1, Statement of Qualifications and Services Offered (page 25).

Please add the new page to your copy of the Invitation to Negotiate and remove the earlier version of the same page issued prior to this Addendum # 2 as of August 26, 2009.

All other dates and terms and conditions remain the same in this Invitation to Negotiate.

Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Florida Statutes. Any notice of protest or protest to this solicitation which was filed prior to this notice is null and void.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "KB", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Kevin Bailey, Chief
Bureau of Purchasing and Contracts

6.0 EVALUATION OF SQSO**6.1 STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS AND SERVICES OFFERED:** (Maximum 100 points)

The Department will appoint an Evaluation Committee. The committee shall complete the evaluation of all valid SQSO's, in accordance with the criteria set forth in this section.

The top three scoring SQSO's will move to the Negotiations and Best and Final Offer (BAFO) portion of the ITN.

6.2 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: (maximum 5 points; refer to Section 5.8.2)**6.3 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND PLAN:** (maximum 15 points, refer to Section 5.8.3)**6.4 CUSTOMER REFERENCES:** (maximum 15 points, refer to Section 5.8.4)**6.5 SERVICE REQUIRMENTS:** (maximum 40 points, refer to Section 5.8.5)**6.6 SAMPLE DELIVERABLES:** (maximum 25 points, refer to Section 5.8.6)**6.7 PRICE PROPOSAL FORMAT:** (0 points, pass/fail, refer to Section 5.8.7)**6.8 CRITERIA FOR SCORING SQSO SECTIONS:**

Excellent response: 90 to 100% of the maximum score.

Good response: 80 to 89% of the maximum score.

Fair response: 70 to 79% of the maximum score.

Poor response: 0 to 69% of the maximum score.

Rounding will be to the nearest tenth of a point.

In determining whether a response is excellent, good, fair or poor a reviewer may use a combination of an "absolute" approach and a "comparative" approach. Example: If two firms are competing on the basis of relevant experience, and one has 15 years experience while the other has 16, the reviewer may score both as excellent, with the same number of points, because 15 or 16 years is "absolutely" excellent. However, the reviewer might feel that 8 years versus 16 years represents a 90% "excellent" versus a 100% "excellent."

NOTE: In any "Pass/Fail" section a failing score will result in the proposal being non-responsive as per Section 1.6 of this solicitation.